

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/088,320 | COCOLA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Steven S. Paik | 2876 | |

All Participants:

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(2) Mr. John James McGlew (Reg. No. 31,903).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2004

Time: 10:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

10 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Re claim 10, the applicant has agreed to replace the word, "it" in lines 14 and 15 with – said at least one analyzer –.

Re claim 12, the applicant verified the formality and punctuation marks that became blurry due to faxing and scanning processes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)